

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of:

R. L. Mahany et al.

Serial No.: 10/692,959

Filed: October 24, 2003

For: WIRELESS PERSONAL LOCAL
AREA NETWORK

Examiner: Vu, Huy Duy

Group Art Unit : 2616

Confirmation No. 1865

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
On August 9, 2007

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Attached with this electronic submission are the following:

- A completed PTO/SB/08A which has one (1) page.
- A copy of each printed reference listed in the PTO/SB/08A form is attached. Applicants, however, have not submitted U.S. Patents or other references previously provided to or by the PTO in this application. Thirteen (13) references are attached.

FEE DETERMINATION AND PAYMENT

No fee is believed to be due because:

- The applicant(s) believe(s) that this statement and attachments are being filed before the first Office action on the merits has been mailed by the PTO. The basis of this belief is that no Office action on the merits appears to have been received by the undersigned to date.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which are presently required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 13-0017.

REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION

This paper and attachments are believed to be entitled to consideration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97, based on the facts stated above.

The owner of record of the present application, Broadcom Corporation, is currently involved in several disputes with Qualcomm, Inc., including the following pending lawsuit and investigation:

- In the Matter of Certain Baseband Processor Chips and Chipsets, Transmitter and Receiver (Radio) Chips, Power Control Chips, and Products Containing Same, Including Cellular Telephone Handsets, U.S. International Trade Commission Case. No. 377-TA-543.
- Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm, Inc., Civil Action No. 05-468 in the Central District of California

The references being electronically submitted have been either cited, produced or relied upon by Qualcomm thus far during the above-mentioned lawsuit and/or investigation. This electronic submission is in no way intended as an admission that the submitted references constitute prior art under any subsection of 35 U.S.C. §102 or §103. Applicant expressly retains the right to argue that any of the cited references are not indeed prior art or to take any actions necessary to remove any of the cited references from the available prior art.

The Examiner is requested to initial the attached PTO/SB/08A and return a copy to the applicants to indicate consideration of the attached references.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 9, 2007

/phs/
Philip Henry Sheridan
Reg. No. 59,918

McAndrews Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60661
(T) 312 775 8000
(F) 312 775 8100

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet 1 of 1

Complete if Known

Application Number	10/692,959
Filing Date	10/24/2003
First Named Inventor	Mahany et al.
Group Art Unit	2616
Examiner Name	Vy, Huy Duy
Attorney Docket Number	14407US02

OTHER ART -- NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published
	C155	Expert Report of Stephen G. Kunin
	C156	Qualcomm's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 8-11 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,359,872 (Sept. 8, 2006)
	C157	Qualcomm's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claim 2 of U.S. Patent 5,682,379 (Sept. 8, 2006)
	C158	Broadcom's Corrected Opposition to Qualcomm's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 5,682,379 (Oct. 25, 2006)
	C159	Broadcom's Corrected Opposition to Qualcomm's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 8-11 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 5,369,872 (Oct. 25, 2006)
	C160	Qualcomm's Reply in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claim 2 of U.S. patent No. 5,682,379 (Nov. 13, 2006)
	C161	Qualcomm Opposition to Broadcom's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment on Effective Filing Date of U.S. patent Nos. 5,682,379 and 6,359,872 (Nov. 13, 2006)
	C162	Qualcomm's Reply in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 8-11 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,359,872 (Nov. 13, 2006)
	C163	Supplemental Expert Report of Stephen G. Kunin, 01/20/2006
	C164	Qualcomm Incorporated's Sixth Supplemental Objections And Responses To Complainant's First Set Of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-15), 12/09/2005
	C165	Qualcomm Incorporated's Responses And Objections To Broadcom Corporation's First Set Of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-31), 07/17/2006
	C166	Qualcomm Incorporated's Supplemental Responses And Objections To Broadcom Corporation's First Set Of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-31), 08/28/2006
	C167	Qualcomm Incorporated's Second Supplemental Responses And Objections To Broadcom Corporation's First Set Of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-31), 09/15/2006

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	DATE CONSIDERED

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard St. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. Send TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1800-786-9199) and select option 2.

Rev. Sept. 03

J:\OPEN\phs\Broadcom (1772)\Qualcomm\Litigation Statement & IDS Project\ITC Litigation Statement & IDS\5,682,379 & 6,359,872 Bluetooth Patents\Third Supp IDS - Bluetooth\No Fee\14407US02\14407US02 3rd Supp SB08A.doc